*\*\*\*SPSS can also be quite dangerous, we have talked about this before. With the current analyses we are making SPSS do some rather strange things. Make sure that you are paying attention to what you are doing. Run the examples that we did in class first if you are unsure.*

1. **Prepare the data:** Download the data file on the Bb site (“PSYC 6327 Assignment 5 Data.sav”). It might look a little strange. The data represents the ratings made by 10 individuals (i.e., students in the PSYC 1000 pool) who watched interviews of four different celebrities (i.e., Colbert, Jolie, Obama, & Bush). Following each interview, participants rated each celebrity on five dimensions (i.e., neuroticism, extroversion, openness, agreeableness, & conscientiousness) via a 5-point scale ranging from *strongly disagree* (1) to *strongly agree* (5). There is no missing data.
2. **Start working with the data:** Please answer the following questions relating to this data:
3. Using *rWG* and assuming a uniform distribution, what is the level of agreement between the raters for each of the five dimensions for each celebrity? Make sure to indicate the ratings that can and cannot be aggregated.
4. Using *ADM*, what is the level of agreement between the raters for each of the five dimensions for each celebrity? Make sure to indicate the ratings that can and cannot be aggregated.
5. Calculate and interpret the *ICC(1)* for each of the five dimensions for each celebrity.
6. Looking at the results holistically, can the scores for any of the celebrities be aggregated? If so, which ones?

*\*\*\*This should be submitted to me in a well formatted and professional manner via the submission link on Bb.*